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Executive summary

This white paper is intended to aid readers in forming a cloud security strategy and data migration plan when adopting public cloud services. Security Guidance Version 4.0 from the Cloud Security Alliance offers mature recommendations for those looking to adopt public cloud services. But because the guidance offers a ‘cloud agnostic’ approach across SaaS, PaaS and IaaS services, the advice often lacks focus. In this paper we coalesce into actionable advice recommendations across disciplines and customer use cases, paying particular attention to data protection and encryption key management.

Introduction

Organizations of every size from every vertical – including government agencies from local to federal or multi-country level – are moving all or part of their workloads to public cloud services. The revenue growth for the major cloud providers reflects this modern gold rush as we see annual revenue run rates exceed $40B for Microsoft Azure and Amazon Web Services.

Cloud consumers are largely past the question of whether public cloud services are secure, or if they can reasonably implement governance and regulatory controls over systems and data they bring into the cloud. Cloud service providers and a handful of their very public customers have proven that they can. But the question of how to secure systems and data persists. Given the diversity of corporate IT challenges and variety of application services they support, mapping a security strategy to cloud services is a complex task.

The Cloud Security Alliance (CSA) is a not-for-profit organization with a mission to “promote the use of best practices for providing security assurance within Cloud Computing, and to provide education on the uses of Cloud Computing”. CSA has gathered a diverse group of globally-distributed business stakeholders with deep expertise in all facets of cloud security, and from this community produced a clear and actionable set of recommendations. As companies struggle to understand migration and security for cloud, the CSA is the go-to source of information. Recently the CSA released “Security Guidance for Critical Areas of Focus in Cloud Computing v4.0”, a major enhancement to the previous edition.

However, the guidance is focused around disciplines like applications, network, legal and identity. The cloud vendors implement security as silos for individual services (e.g., file, server, database). In contrast, most customers seek cross-discipline strategies to address the use cases they are most interested in, and prefer a unified model that applies wherever their data may reside. In this paper our goal is to help readers bridge these gaps, mapping the CSA guidance to buyers’ most important data security challenges, and outline coherent strategies that meet their objectives.

Thales is well positioned to provide this perspective as we serve thousands of customers around the globe, and our security technologies—through our partnerships with major cloud vendors—are integrated into or available for those providers. Our products, available for sale the the U.S. Federal Government exclusively through Thales Trusted Cyber Technologies, enhance cloud native capabilities, offering customers a unified security management interface for the cloud challenges they face today, as well as bringing security capabilities either not available from the cloud vendors or providing multi-cloud solutions that are not typically in the interests of public cloud providers to offer.

Section 1: Data protection use cases and CSA security guidance version 4.0

Version 4.0 of the CSA security guidance represents a significant update, reflecting the many changes in both how enterprises deploy applications and infrastructure within cloud services, as well as the advancement of security capabilities offered by cloud vendors. These changes have altered the world’s perception of what is possible with cloud services. In essence, Cloud offers the world new architectures, operational models, and tools designed to work in these elastic, on-demand, API-driven environments.
To understand references to products in this paper, here is an overview of Thales cloud data protection solutions. Many Thales cloud data protection solutions are components of the CipherTrust Data Security Platform. CipherTrust Manager, at the core of the platform, provides centralized encryption key and encryption policy management for these components of the CipherTrust Data Security Platform:

- CipherTrust Transparent Encryption offers advanced file-and volume-level encryption deployable from on-premises through hybrid cloud for IaaS. It provides privileged user access controls, container-aware data protection, security intelligence through data access logging, and Live Data Transformation, which enables use of databases and file systems while they are undergoing encryption.
- CipherTrust Tokenization with Dynamic Data Masking and CipherTrust Application Data Protection enable data protection higher in the computer stack, are cloud-friendly and are compatible with cloud database user-defined functions (UDFs).
- The CipherTrust Cloud Key Manager offers centralized, multi-cloud key lifecycle management for IaaS, PaaS and SaaS providers that support bring your own key (BYOK) capabilities.

How do I extend my existing security and data controls to the cloud?

Security Guidance

Beyond managing risk through contracts (Section 2.1 Data Governance), you can exercise control over your data stored within cloud resources. Several cloud services are intended to overlap or replicate from your on premise systems to cloud services, allowing for greater consistency in management and data governance. Identity Management is central to this approach, with Domain 12 of the guidance outlining strategies for replicating or sharing identities, as well as access control options like Single Sign-On and Federated Identity across cloud providers. Supplementary controls over data access are provided by BYOK; you can import your own keys into software based key management systems, or into dedicated Hardware Security Modules provided by the cloud vendor.

Relevant Thales solutions

The CipherTrust Cloud Key Manager from Thales offers:

- Multi-cloud key management with SSO
- FIPS-140-2-compliant key generation and storage
- Per-cloud-provider key activity logging in support of domain 4

In addition:

- CipherTrust Transparent Encryption provides data access logging aggregated by the CipherTrust Manager
- CipherTrust Tokenization Server logs activity to a local or remote syslog server

Both logging systems can be forwarded to any Security Information and Event Manager (SIEM).
How do I protect data as I move and store it in the cloud?

Security Guidance

There are three basic strategies to accomplish this: Encrypt data prior to transport, use encryption with both transport and storage services, or use data-centric security. Subsection 5.1.2 of the guidance is meant to show how each of these strategies work when moving—and using—data in the cloud. The idea is that you want to define your data governance strategy, and understand the tradeoffs of these methods, prior to implementation. Section 11 of the guidance discusses the specific technologies to support each of these strategies. If you choose to encrypt prior to moving data to the cloud, or have an enterprise-wide encryption solution in place, you’ll either want to mirror on-premise keys and encryption capabilities for data access in the cloud, blend on-premise with cloud native services, or bring your existing encryption to the cloud in place of cloud native services. If you choose to encrypt at the services layer, for transport (e.g., TLS, VPN) and data storage (e.g., volume, object, database) you can leverage cloud native capabilities or your preferred encryption solution to secure each service that data comes into contact with. Data centric security tools like masking and tokenization can transform data prior to cloud migration.

While some static masking solutions are non-reversible, if you need to reverse tokens into original data values, you will either need to do so on-premise or bring your existing tokenization service to the cloud for de-tokenization requests. But any of these three approaches will provide secure transport and storage of data, and can be used to replicate information to multiple cloud service models.

Relevant Thales solutions

If your on-premises key and encryption capabilities are based on CipherTrust Transparent Encryption from Thales, you can readily mirror those capabilities by bringing your own encryption (BYOE) to public cloud providers, or request encryption services based on CipherTrust Transparent Encryption from any of nearly 30 cloud services providers worldwide.

CipherTrust Tokenization or Batch Data Transformation can both mask data prior to migration to the cloud or in the cloud. Further, dynamic data masking -- that is, masking content dynamically based on user, group, or role, based on, for example Active Directory or LDAP entries, enables masking or presentation of different data fields, or section of data fields, to different users.

How do I ensure the cloud provider does not access my data?

Security Guidance

Most cloud providers are just as fearful of rogue administrators accessing your data as you are, as this type of ‘Black Swan’ event could severely affect their reputations and valuations. As such they go to great lengths to ensure their administrators cannot access customer data, encryption keys and systems without prior approval and full audit controls. But it remains a risk, however small. More probable is the risk that the cloud vendor be compelled to provide access under court order described in Domain 3: Legal Issues, Contracts and Electronic Discovery. Your Risk Management (Domain 2) and Information Governance (Domain 5) plans will need to account for these risks. For extreme cases where you must minimize or exclude all access by the cloud provider or hostile external parties accessing your information, combinations of cloud services, bring your own encryption, and data management controls such as tokenization with data masking as a form of data redaction, can provide full segregation and protection.

Most Infrastructure as a Service providers now offer—at an added expense for compute nodes—‘Trusted Execution Environments’. Code and data are passed fully encrypted to these servers, and only decrypted below the hypervisor layer, as it’s loaded into secure hardware, so no other processes may examine—or alter—the data or code.

Couple trusted execution with the ability to either bring your own encryption, bring your own keys (e.g., BYOK for SaaS, PaaS, IaaS as described in Domain 11) and key management (e.g., Bring Your Own Encryption for PaaS/IaaS as described in Domain 10 and 11) software, you have full control over data storage and data in use.

Relevant Thales solutions

As noted in Security Guidance, either bringing your own encryption or managing your own keys is a solution for the black swan or subpoena event, with varying effectiveness:

- If you bring your own encryption to the public cloud, then by definition you have 100% control of your encryption keys
- You can bring your own keys to public cloud providers, discussed below. This protects your data from the cloud provider and subpoena issues to the extent discussed directly above
- If you purchase advanced encryption from a Thales Service Provider Partner, their architecture may determine your control of encryption keys. CipherTrust Transparent Encryption enables service providers to devolve key management to each customer
Can I use my own encryption keys in the cloud? Is BYOK an option?

**Security Guidance**

The short answer is “Yes you can”. Many major SaaS, PaaS and IaaS vendor offers the ability to import keys from your on-premises HSM into a key vault or cloud HSM, fully described in Domain 11. The level of integration varies between cloud vendors and whether or not you opt for on-premises or cloud HSMs. You may need to manually perform the import, but you are provided up to FIPS 140-2 Level 3 security. From there the cloud provider derives keys from the master key you imported to encrypt data contained in various services (e.g., object, volume, database).

**Relevant Thales solutions**

The CipherTrust Cloud Key Manager provides full key lifecycle management for a growing list of IaaS/PaaS and SaaS providers and solutions. Key sourcing and storage is available in up to a FIPS 140-2 Level 3-certified appliance, or fully in software with FIPS 140-2 Level 1 certified virtual appliance.

How do I enforce data residency policies, and specifically, comply with GDPR?

**Security Guidance**

The guidance dedicates a significant portion of Domain 3 (Legal Issues, Contracts and Electronic Discovery) to outline your responsibilities for EU security concerns in general and GDPR compliance specifically. This will provide a good roadmap of what data you need to account for and what controls to implement. We recommend that the basic controls you use for any Personally-Identifiable Information (PII)-regulated data controls are a good place to start with GDPR as the controls and types of data are similar. This is briefly discussed in Domain 11. We also recommend use of Identity Management, encryption and key management for multiple mechanisms to enforce the Cross-border Data Transfer Restrictions, so in the event data is moved, it can be rendered inaccessible. You will need to collect both cloud logs for access controls, as well as the logs from your own applications and services, to fulfill your requirement on Accountability. The guidance has extensive comments on what logs to collect, and how to create secure logging architectures and monitoring behavior from logs in Domain 7 (infrastructure Security), Domain 9 (Incident Response), and Domain 10 (Application Security).

**Relevant Thales solutions**

Thales solutions including advanced encryption and tokenization enable effective, simple-to-deploy solutions for GDPR articles 32 and 34 related to:

- Pseudonymisation and encryption of personal data
- Assessing the effectiveness of your security measures
- The unauthorized access to personal data
- Crypto-shredding with key revocation

As mentioned above, Thales encryption and tokenization solutions offer a range of data access logging for integration with SIEM systems. The logging mechanisms in the CipherTrust Manager, CipherTrust Transparent Encryption Agents, and Tokenization server support monitoring architectures as described in Domains 7, 9 and 10.

How do I track and monitor data access and usage?

**Security Guidance**

Monitoring is another topic discussed in almost every domain of the guidance, but very few concrete examples of how to accomplish monitoring are provided. Also unstated is that logging capabilities are somewhat new for most public cloud vendors, and monitoring these logs for security related events or compliance reports is decidedly nascent. Cloud vendors are getting better at it, but the log files seldom represent a full picture of activity. Be realistic: If you want to monitor in the cloud, you will need a blend of cloud and third party tools. The primary need is to collect a combination of the service logs and the identity logs provided by the cloud, in addition to log files from the servers, containers and applications you run. That means you will need to leverage all sources, and possibly even use a data warehouse or logging tool to supplement event storage.
The good news is that some of the clouds now provide the ability to filter and route the events they generate, and they offer the ability to create basic security policies that, in effect, monitor cloud events, and provide alerts when conditions are witnessed within the logs. Again, these are basic monitoring capabilities, and it is likely that you will either need to move a portion of the log data back on premises to monitor, alert and generate reports or create that infrastructure in the cloud. It is common to see application logs, syslog and web gateway events all streamed to a Hadoop cluster, Elastic Stack, Splunk or even SIEM installations running in the cloud. These installations then leverage the same reporting and analytics capabilities used on-premises and provide a consistency of reporting.

**Relevant Thales solutions**

As part of any comprehensive logging strategy as suggested by Security Guidance, one benefit of bringing your own encryption to the cloud with CipherTrust Transparent Encryption is its comprehensive data access logging which, combined with supported SIEM solutions, becomes, effectively, an additional layer of security intelligence.

Similarly, applications utilizing CipherTrust Tokenization can themselves log activity, or the Tokenization Server can provide logging to SYSLOG and whence to SIEM.

Finally, applications written with CipherTrust Application Data Protection can log their activities to SYSLOG and whence to SIEM.

**Section 2: Cloud migration risks and potential mitigations**

Mapping typical use cases to the Security Guidance book is important because the guidance offers a balanced perspective, disassociated from cloud service vendors’ financial goals, which may not be consistent with your best interests. Cloud vendors operate in an increasingly competitive market and have addressed most security and compliance impediments which hindered customer acceptance. Fierce competition has erupted to land customers quickly. In some ways this benefits customers directly (e.g., driving prices down), but also presents the primary risk to customers: that cloud vendors underplay cloud migrations challenges. Many still advise customers to simply mirror their on-premises environment into the cloud, using the same in-house architecture and security. This “Lift and Shift” recommendation is attractive, making the cloud feel comfortable and familiar, but has been shown neither to reduce cost or enhance security. In reality the cloud can offer better security at a lower cost, but requires a degree of application and process re-architecting and re-imagining security controls to meet both goals.

As another mechanism to utilize Security Guidance v4.0, here is a checklist of cloud migration risks that are common running themes and apply to most—if not all—sections.

**Vendor lock-in:** Lock-in is a reality with cloud services. While PaaS and IaaS vendors offer similar features (e.g., storage, compute, virtual networking, functions, container support and so on) each native API is proprietary. You can architect applications (e.g., abstraction layers, generic terraform templates) and leverage 3rd party technologies (e.g., bring your own encryption [BYOE] (and keys), bring your own keys [BYOK] key management, or Kubernetes container orchestration) for cross-platform services, but a certain amount of lock-in is unavoidable. Thales can help you manage many of the challenges of vendor lock-in and embrace a cost-effective multi-cloud strategy.

**Lift and shift:** Cloud vendors encourage you to embrace the cloud, and to make it seem less daunting, claim you need only to “lift and shift” your existing IT systems to the cloud. The guidance repeats that lift and shift is a bad idea. That’s partially because if your internal security is bad today, don’t be surprised that it’s still bad when you move it to cloud. But beyond bringing your existing problems with you, this approach fails to leverage native cloud security, elasticity and resiliency features. Thales, or many of our Cloud Service Providers worldwide, can assist you in avoiding, or perhaps making the best of, “lift and shift.”
Shared responsibility: This is a key focus of Security Guidance 4.0 as it is important to understand where your security responsibilities begin (and end), and that you should avoid outsourcing data governance responsibilities or think the provider will do this for you. Carefully review vendor-published security controls and service level agreements. Depending upon the cloud service, you’re likely to be surprised what vendors do not provide; for example, some will not share events logs to support Incident Response. Any item not clearly spelled out in documentation must be remediated through contracts in order to address risks. Anything outside cloud provider stated security coverage is your responsibility. We find ourselves reminding customers that data security responsibility is in their hands, even with cloud-native encryption, because everything that occurs in cloud compute instance operating systems, is in their, not the cloud vendors, hands. Paraphrasing an Amazon Web Services blog: Cloud vendors [Amazon] are [is] responsible for security of the cloud and customers are responsible for security in the cloud. Other public cloud vendors have similar discussions of shared responsibility.

Multi-account issues: The guidance advises using many different user accounts to support cloud operations, specifically segregation of accounts for administration, development, quality assurance and IT job functions. Part of this recommendation is because cloud accounts are free of charge; you pay only for the resources you consume in each account. Another part is because it’s a great way to compartmentalize users and job functions, making it easier to secure, easier to audit and easier to remediate in the event of an account compromise. But this creates new problems in sharing of user certificates, identity tokens, encryption keys, and other sensitive information. But you can find exceptions with third party solutions. For example, if you “Bring Your Own Encryption” with CipherTrust Transparent Encryption, you can centrally manage it across any number of accounts — or even across multiple clouds, with CipherTrust Manager. Similarly the CipherTrust Cloud Key Manager from Thales can manage encryption keys for vendor-provided encryption across key vaults, accounts and even clouds.

Hybrid cloud: The reality is most organizations will run in a hybrid cloud model for some time, with public cloud supplementing on-premises IT. It’s important to ensure connections to cloud services are secure, and should not form a bridge [i.e. effectively flatten] your network. But the guidance puts significant focus on meeting compliance and use of customer managed keys as a common root of trust across clouds. And for many users of the guidance, moving to the cloud does not obviate the need for FIPS 140-2-compliant hardware support for encryption and key management operations. Many cloud providers now offer some form of access to HSMs in the cloud, allowing you to meet compliance mandates and bring your own keys to the cloud. But this is an area where Security Guidance fails to recognize, again, Bring Your Own Encryption, which offers FIPS 140-2 secure key storage combined with advanced encryption, seamlessly from your premises to the cloud.

Unintentional data availability: New cloud customers are typically not familiar with cloud native functions, how they work, or their default settings. For example, many firms had sensitive data ‘leaked’ as they assumed AWS S3 ‘buckets’ were private, when in fact the default setting was publicly available. And with powerful orchestration and automation capabilities, it is quite easy for well-intentioned administrators to automate database and disk backups, which automatically move all private data to a publicly available storage medium. Great care must be taken to ensure that repositories are secure and private prior to moving data into them. Thales can help with many of the challenges that cloud users face in this regard: First is S3: Encryption for S3 applies to the data stored within the service, not when it is extracted or copied. However, as S3 permissions and encryption capabilities are confusing, with misconfigurations resulting in high profile breaches, it’s a best practice to encrypt content before it is moved into S3. This ensures data is protected even if it is replicated across regions or copied to different storage mediums. A potential solution for securing S3 storage buckets is to utilize native S3 encryption available with CipherTrust Transparent Encryption. Any Windows or Linux server, in cloud or on premises, equipped with a CipherTrust Transparent Encryption Agent, can leverage advanced encryption and least-privileged data access controls on S3 buckets. Turning to backups: an optional feature of CipherTrust Transparent Encryption (bring your own encryption) provides for automated key versioning coupled with data rekeying (decrypting with the old key and re-encrypting with new key). But backups are occasionally not “live”, so they can’t be rekeyed. No problem: when the backup set is brought “live”, Transparent Encryption Agents read the key version, retrieve the key for that version from CipherTrust Manager, and can decrypt the backup with a key that could be many versions back.

Data residency: The General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) from the European Parliament and Council has brought new urgency to data privacy and a real-world examination of what data residency means and how to meet these requirements. The guidance discusses data privacy in most sections, and dedicated most of another to GDPR. While data management requirements for GDPR are both complex and company specific, most existing data protection programs built atop encryption, tokenization and good logging will meet both PI and data privacy sections of GDPR. Additionally, key management controls can ensure that encryption keys—and by proxy decryption or cyber-shredding of sensitive customer data—are only available in specific geographic regions to ensure compliance. Thales has been readying customers for GDPR for several years, and, as discussed above, offer encryption, tokenization and key management solutions that fulfill many GDPR data residency and privacy mandates.

Key ownership and access: There remain both trust and privacy concerns around key usage in cloud services. Domain 11 of the guidance focuses on Data Security and Encryption, but does not cover some of the concerns voiced regarding cloud vendors—perhaps compelled by legal order—accessing customer encryption keys. Major cloud service providers offer key management and elastic HSM support. Vendors can access keys in the native key management system; they cannot access keys in the HSM. But the difficulty comes from this: All key operations are performed on derived keys, so even if customer root keys are protected, some of the derived keys may be accessed by the cloud provider. For customers who feel at risk in this area, they can optionally bring their own encryption, and therefore keys, to the cloud.
**Data centric security:** Cloud vendors offer security features built into services, and focus on protecting the service, not the data. Data Centric Security ensures that data is protected regardless of underlying cloud security. In fact few cloud vendors provide tokenization, format preserving encryption or masking technology. The guidance notes these technologies as both very useful in augmenting cloud security, but should be foremost considerations when a service cannot meet your security, privacy or regulatory requirements.

For these reasons the Cloud Security Alliance Security Guidance book, offering a cloud neutral security recommendations, is essential for customers who are considering, or who have already, moved to public cloud services.

**Appendix A: How Thales data protection solutions help fulfill guidance**

Security Guidance 4.0 covers 14 different domains of cloud security, offering a very broad set of security disciplines to consider. To help the reader focus on how Thales can aid transition to public cloud and offer both complementary and unique capabilities, in this appendix we outline specific products that address 4.0 recommendations. While we offer products and services applicable to all domains, this appendix focuses on specific capabilities within the broad eco-system of security tools and technologies.

One quick consideration before we dive in: The CSA offers tools beyond Security Guidance such as the Cloud Controls Matrix (CCM) and Consensus Assessment Initiative Questionnaire (CAIQ), which themselves are essential for creating security and compliance requirements for cloud deployments. Version 4.0 of the CCM and CAIQ will be released mid-2018, at which time we will provide updated mapping to these new compliance and security frameworks. As the latest version of Security Guidance is a significant step forward and upon which this paper is based, we forgo mapping Thales solutions to the CCM and CAIQ until the newest versions of those documents are available.

Thales products aid users in cloud security and operations in the following domains:

**Domain 4: compliance and audit management**

**Summary of Domain 4:** Domain 4 focuses on the need for compliance as a means to validate awareness of—and adherence to—corporate, contractual and regulatory obligations. Audits are a key tool for proving (or disproving) compliance, and supporting non-compliance risk decisions. That said, the logging and reporting capabilities for public cloud offer a different, and often incomplete, picture of activity. While some issues can be mitigated through contract negotiations and risk reduction strategies, to provide full audit reports, you’ll need to supplement cloud native logs with application layer logs for critical applications and data usage.

**Thales solutions for Domain 4:** Thales solutions offer logging features in support of audits or compliance assurance. CipherTrust Manager provides detailed logs. This means all key management functions and administrative actions, commonly central audit areas of interest, are recorded. Customers can configure CipherTrust Manager to deliver their audit logs to their SIEM, security analytics or general logging platforms. The previous two logs could be considered “management plane” logs. In addition to those, CipherTrust Transparent Encryption can be instructed to log increasingly detailed data access logs, which can be sent directly to a SIEM or aggregated and de-duplicated by the CipherTrust Manager. These “data plane” logs detail when users and applications access data, under what policies the requests were handled, and if access requests were permitted or denied. The logs will even expose when a privileged user submits a command like “switch user” in order to attempt to imitate another user. Aggregating data plane logs across multiple hosts and correlating in a SIEM can enable very early detection of an infection spreading horizontally in a cloud.

**Domain 5: Information governance**

**Summary of Domain 5:** Information Governance is one of the more complex subjects covered in the guidance. It covers a myriad of concerns including data ownership, multi-tenancy, jurisdictional constraints on how data is secured, data privacy and proper methods to destroy unused or unwanted data. The guidance provides an entire Data Security Lifecycle to show how to meet data governance concerns under every possible use case, from creation to destruction.

**Thales solutions for Domain 5:** There are several technologies that address security and privacy concerns in all phases of the Data Security Lifecycle, such as data encryption, tokenization and data masking. By obfuscating data and only exposing the original values to fully authenticated users, these technologies address every phase of the lifecycle by securing data in use, at rest, as it is shared, archived and destroyed.
The CipherTrust Data Security Platform from Thales provides encryption, key management, tokenization and dynamic data masking to tackle all of these challenges. The platform’s architecture enables customers to implement their own security policies and address Security Guidance recommendations, for databases, files and big data nodes in private, public, or hybrid cloud environments as well as on-premises.

While data encryption provides solid protection against disclosure of data, regardless of where that data may reside, data encryption keys remain a challenge for data stored in the cloud. The main risk of utilizing vendor-provided encryption is key management. Bring Your Own Key (BYOK), supported by the CipherTrust Cloud Key Manager, is a good first step in ensuring security for encrypted data. Bring Your Own Encryption (BYOE), provided by CipherTrust Transparent Encryption and CipherTrust Manager, provides in-depth controls that reflect more Security Guidance requirements such as data access controls as defined in Domain 5 functions, actors and controls. Using BYOE, customers define which user accounts and applications can access data, what particular data they can access, when they can access it, and in what manner or form. Access rights are enforced for all users, even for those administrators with root-level permissions. And data access control is performed within the operating system, integrated with AD or LDAP controls. Control in the operating system is critical, in that vendor-provided encryption occurs below the operating system, presenting clear text to the operating system. Since infections exploit operating systems and applications, and the shared security model stops below operating systems, it becomes almost imperative to BYOE.

BYOK and BYOE deliver data protection and segregation at multiple levels to safeguard and ensure the confidentiality of your data. By ensuring the segregation of data, duties, encryption keys, and management of the encryption solution, Thales provides controls that address data governance and data privacy requirements.

Finally, Thales BYOK and BYOE solutions enable cyber-shredding. Customers can destroy any of their own encryption keys. By doing so, they effectively remove the ability of anyone to decrypt and access the data associated with those keys. Data that is misplaced or inadvertently copied remains inaccessible once the encryption keys have been destroyed.

**Domain 10: application security**

**Summary of Domain 10:** Domain 10 of the guidance focuses on how application security is different in the cloud, and covers how to leverage cloud native functions to address many technical challenges with application security. DevOps techniques, when leveraged in public cloud, radically changes our ability to secure applications. What the guidance does not mention is that applications remain the principal gateway and consumer of data. And as described in Domain 5, when looking at data security through the lens of the Data Security Lifecycle, leveraging encryption at the application layer tackles data at rest, data in use and data in transit by default. When the application decides what a user can access and what remains protected, you have ultimate control over data security and access policy adherence.

**Thales solutions for Domain 10:** You can encrypt specific files or database columns in infrastructure- or platform-as-a-service (IaaS or PaaS) environments with applications developed using CipherTrust Application Data Protection deployed on, for example, front-end web servers. CipherTrust Application Data Protection offers cryptographic service provider bindings for PKCS#11, JCE, Microsoft CNG, as well as programming and development environment support for C/C++, Java, Microsoft .NET and Microsoft .NET Core. All services leverage CipherTrust Manager for secure key generation, storage and granular key controls. Another choice for application-layer security is CipherTrust Tokenization with Dynamic Data Masking. Offering data tokenization including format-preserving encryption (FPE) along with both static and dynamic data masking, tokenization can be requested from nearly anywhere in the IaaS/PaaS stack using convenient RESTful APIs.
Domain 11: data security

Summary of Domain 11: Domain 11 is a critical section of the guidance as it's the one area that, not matter what type of public cloud you adopt (e.g., SaaS, PaaS, IaaS), data security is largely your concern and your concern alone. The vendor will focus on securing their infrastructure or platform, but the data is yours, and you need to take extra steps to secure it. The guidance again focuses on The Data Security Lifecycle as a means to understand where security controls should be implemented and prescribes a mixture of encryption, architecture and access controls as a means to protect data.

Thales solutions for Domain 11: Data security is where the full portfolio of Thales products come into play.

First, data encryption is at the heart of the CipherTrust Data Security Platform. Security Guidance, the Cloud Controls Matrix, and the Consensus Assessment Initiative Questionnaire all identify data encryption as an ideal security control for data protection primarily because it is a data-centric control. Data encryption is a persistent control that remains in effect wherever the encrypted data is created, used or stored. Even in the event the encrypted data is misplaced or unauthorized copies are made, the data remains encrypted and unreadable. Thales offers a range of products that encrypt data in various places in the stack and in various ways. And it is cost effective and more importantly, multi-cloud friendly, in that key management for all encryption solutions is centered in the CipherTrust Manager, which can be deployed on-premises or in popular public cloud environments. Regardless of where CipherTrust Manager is deployed, if it can reach a server running either CipherTrust Transparent Encryption, applications written with CipherTrust Application Data Protection or Tokenization services, data access controls and key management are simple and easy.
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